Previous references.

Banking 13 of 02-03.
Sl. 1-4. B.

Later references.

1903.
(Banking)

File No. 34 of 03-04
Serial Nos. 1 – 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 – 2.

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Amount deposited in the Savings' Bank, Nela-Mangala Tk. Treasury to be returned to Raja Seetharam Setty.

Table of Contents.

Sl. 1. No. 1835/149 dy. 23-9-03. from the Comptr.
Requesting to know if he can credit to the Savings Bank Account of Raja Seetharam Setty not only Rs. 2500/- but also Rs. 374-2-9 and pay him Rs. 3000 as claimed by debiting Rs. 2874-2-9 advances.
Fl. 539

Sl. 2. No. Fl. 1152 dy. 29-10-03. To the Comptr.
Informing that only the amount due to the depositor was sanctioned to be repaid, and stating that the Comptr may
G. R. S. 58 ascertain what the actual balance due to the depositor is, by Communication with the Dy. Commr, have the necessary entries made in the Accounts and order payment in due course.

Govt. of Mysore

Office of ~~the~~ Dewan ~~of~~ Mysore.
Jour. & Rev. Secretariat

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Office Note.

Branch Revenue

Subject. No 1361 of 29-5-03 from the Dy. Jour. Bangalore

Re prayer of Raja Lakshman Setti of Nyamanpadu to allow him to withdraw Rs. 3000/= deposited by him in the Nelamangala Taluk Treasury.

The Dy. Commissioner submits the Raja Lakshman Setti's petition in which the petitioner says that up to March 1902 there was a balance of Rs. 3000/= in his favour in the Cashbook given to him for the money lodged in Savings Bank of the Nelamangala Taluk Office that when he asked the Amildar for the payment of the amount then, the Amildar told him that as there was some mistake about the amount he would be paid after Sirkar orders from the higher Authorities and that though nearly a year has elapsed since then he has not been given back the amount and prays that as the amount is urgently required Early orders may be issued for the payment of the amount.

The Dy. Jour. requests that as the Amildar as to who should make good the amount deposited in the Savings Bank of the Nelamangala Taluk which is pending before Govt. for orders,

indicated in the copy of the application to which the petitioner is entitled, formal sanction may be accorded to the withdrawal of the amount which may have been paid by the depositor.

The petitioner has also submitted a petition to post in the case proceeding the endorsement of the Dy. Govt. to the effect that Govt. has already been written to in the matter and that on receipt of reply he would be given an endorsement accordingly. Hence this.

The proceedings of the Dy. Govt. regarding deposit of Rs. 3000 in the Govt. Bank of the Reclamation Bank is enclosed to the Dy. Govt. and the file connected therewith was submitted to the Govt. on 27-5-03.

Subd
BK
29/7/03

Subd K Mukherjee for
Orders 29/7/03

Draft an order authorising payment of the sum due & submit for approval

27/7/03

Dft
27/7/03

To file I

2 Offs Subd.
Fit
29/7/03

11878
31/7/03

31/7/03

The above order was issued, and the Comptroller points out that it is not clear whether the sum of Rs. 3000, the repayment of which is sanctioned in the above order, represents the balance

of the credit of the depositor on any instance but only a portion of the balance, beyond the due sum, that the balance on 30th June 1903 at the credit of the depositor on the date maintained in this office is only Rs. 125-13-3; that a sum of Rs. 2,500 however appears as having been withdrawn by the depositor in October 1902; but that the genuineness of the transaction was called in question; that he has not yet received the final report on the matter promised by the A.D. Surv. and that even if this sum was not really paid to the depositor the amount due from Govt to him is Rs. 2,625-13-3 and not Rs. 3,000 as now claimed by him

Note. In the petition, the depositor submitted to the Dy. Surv. that he prayed for permission for the withdrawal of Rs. 3000 - Vide Dy. Surv. letter No. 1341 of 29-5-03. and in the petition he presented to Govt he prays that out of the sum of Rs. 3000 deposited by him he might be permitted to withdraw the balance [illegible]

+ Returned to D.S. with the above order, 1 post

The Comptroller further points out that if

4

the amounts which have been misappropriated out of the Savings Bank funds, have been refunded to the depositors, it will be necessary to close their accounts. The amounts which were temporarily drawn as withdrawals, before payment of the said sum sanctioned can be made, and regarding the interest if he can credit the Savings Bank accounts of liquidation left not only Rs. 2500/= but also Rs. 374-2-9 (3000 - 2625 = 13-3) and pay sum Rs. 3000 as claimed by debiting Rs. 2874-2-9 to account payable -

Sd/-
13/10/03
SDC

we have not sanctioned the repayment of Rs 3000/- but the amount due to the Depositor. The Court may please enquire from the Bank manager with the Bank's actual balance in order to make the necessary entries in the accounts & order payment

17/10/03

Reply accepted

17/10/03

(10 secs)

Fl 539

.....DEPARTMENT.

190

From

THE COMPTROLLER,
MYSORE GOVT.

To

THE SECY. TO GOVT. OF MYSORE,
Rev. Dept.

Serial No. 1.

Dated

Despatched 23 Sept.

Received

No. 1835

No. 149

SUBJECT MATTER.

Refer to Revenue if he can credit the Canara Bank account of Raja Sastram with only Rs. 2500/- but also Rs 374-2-9 (3600 - 2625 By and pay him Rs 3000 as claimed by debiting Rs 2874-2-9. Advise accordingly

MGCPB—28-8-02—600

Special Audit DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE

COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE, 23 September 1903

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
GENERAL REVENUE SECRETARIAT
7C537
23 SEP 1903

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq., B. A. B. L. E.

COMPTROLLER. GOVT. OF MYSORE,

To

M. V. Narayanaya Esquire M. A. M. L.

~~THE~~ SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue DEPT.

SIR,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. F. L. 393-4 dated 6th August 1903 authorising the repayment of the amount deposited in the Savings Bank at the Nelamangala Taluq Treasury by Raja Seetaram Shetty, I have the honor to state that it is not clear whether the sum of Rs 3,000/- the repayment of which is now sanctioned represents the balance at the credit of the depositor on any particular date or only a portion of the balance braved to be due to him.

2. The balance on 30th June 03 at the credit of the depositor on the Ledger maintained in this office is only Rs 125-13-3 a sum of Rs 2,500/- however appears as having been withdrawn by the depositor in October 1900, but the genuineness of this transaction has been called in question and I have not yet received the final report on the matter promised by

by the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore. Even if this Sum was not ~~really~~ paid to the depositor the amount due to him would be Rs 2,625-13-3 and not Rs 3000/- as now claimed by him.

3. If amounts which have been misappropriated out of the Savings Bank Funds have to be repaid to the depositors, it will be necessary to restore to their accounts the amounts which were wrongly shown as withdrawals, before repayments of the kind now sanctioned can be made. I beg to know if I can credit to the Savings Bank account of Raja Seetaram Setty not only Rs 2500/- but also Rs 374-2-9 (3000 — 2625-13-3) and pay him Rs 3000/- as claimed by debiting Rs 2874-2-9 to advances recoverable.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Controller

Office Draft. (Docket.)

The Mysore Government,
General & Revenue Secretariat,
Revenue Branch.

To

The Comptroller
Bangalore.

Date of passing the draft 29.10.03
Date of despatch 29

Regd. No. H. D 1152
File No. & date (if any) Serial No. 2.
No. of Encls.

Letter No. 1835/149 dated 23rd September 1903 from the Comptroller, Bangalore, requesting payment to the minor Rajasekharam Setti Ramanapalya, a depositor in the Savings Bank at the Ramanapalya Taluk Treasury.

With reference to the above, I am to inform the Comptroller that in G.O. Nos. H. 393-4 dated 6-8-03, only the amount due to the depositor was sanctioned to be repaid. The Comptroller

5

may therefore, have himself in communication with the Municipality, ascertain what is indeed balance due to the Petitioner is, have the necessary Entries made in the Accounts and order payment in due course

B. O

22/10/03

For Secretary

Accepted
24/10/03